Fixed Drug Eruption Due to Lorazepam
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Lorazepam belongs to the highly potent benzodiazepine family, of which it shares the 5 intrinsic properties: anxiolytic, amnesic, sedative and hypnotic, anticonvulsive, and muscle relaxant. Although allergic reactions to benzodiazepines are rare, delayed hypersensitivity reactions have been reported [1], including fixed drug eruption (FDE) due to lorormazepam [2]. We report a case of lorazepam-induced FDE.

A 68-year-old man was referred to our department to rule out drug allergy. He had a history of arterial hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, hyperuricemia, nonallergic rhinitis, bladder carcinoma, nephrectomy, and ureterectomy. He was receiving long-term treatment with amlodipine, olmesartan, allopurinol, statins, and tamsulosin.

The patient had developed cutaneous pruritus and macular lesions on the trunk and limbs during hospitalization after bladder surgery; these were diagnosed as urticaria and treated with parenteral corticosteroids and antihistamines. The lesions lasted for several days and healed with residual hyperpigmentation. At that time, they were thought to have been caused by amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and metamizole, which had been administered for several days before surgery. Once the patient had been discharged, he presented the same kind of lesions at the same sites 8 hours after taking a lorazepam tablet to treat insomnia. The patient also reported taking a lorazepam tablet while in hospital.

Since the first suspected diagnosis was FDE, patch tests were performed with the Spanish Contact Dermatitis and Skin Allergy Research Group (GEIDAC) standard battery and the drugs involved (amoxicillin-clavulanic 10% pet, metamizole 10% pet, and lorazepam 30% pet) at the affected sites, with negative readings at 48 and 96 hours. Skin prick tests and intradermal tests subsequently carried out with metamizole and β-lactams yielded negative results. Controlled oral challenge tests with amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and metamizole also yielded negative results. Finally, a controlled oral challenge test performed with lorazepam (0.5 mg, repeated 1 hour later) was positive: 30 minutes after the cumulative dose of 1 mg, the patient developed pruritus with macular erythematous lesions on the palms and hypothenar eminences. The lesions progressed during the following 48 hours and increased in number on the trunk and limbs (Figure), with subsequent appearance of vesicles on the mucosa of the hard palate. Peripheral eosinophilia (10%) was also observed, and transaminases were not elevated. The patient was successfully treated with oral corticosteroids and antihistamines. His condition resolved within 15 days. On the basis of these findings, the study was extended using patch tests with a benzodiazepine series (lorazepam, midazolam, diazepam, bromazepam, zolpidem, and triazolam; 30% in pet) at the site of the residual lesion. However, the results were negative. To prevent further reactions, the patient was advised to avoid benzodiazepines. Previous studies have shown no cross-reactivity between tetrazepam and other benzodiazepines (bromazepam, diazepam, and midazolam) with patch testing and oral challenge [1,3]. However, we did not perform a controlled oral challenge with other benzodiazepines because of the risk involved and the lack of references for cross-reactivity when testing lorazepam.

FDE is a delayed cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction characterized by recurrent well-defined lesions at the same location each time the culprit drug is taken. Mucous membranes can also be affected. FDE appears within minutes to several hours after intake and has been associated with many agents, the most common being nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [4,5], antibiotics [6], anticonvulsants, paracetamol, and antimalarial drugs [7]. However, to our knowledge, FDE due to lorazepam has not been previously reported. Sensitization occurs more readily in patients receiving the causative drugs intermittently than in those receiving them continuously [8], as is the case of benzodiazepines. While most reactions are limited to characteristic hyperpigmented lesions, some may progress to multiple or bullous lesions with subsequent administrations [9]; hence the need for early identification of the culprit drug. Topical provocation with patch testing must be performed at the sites of previous lesions, as the results depend on the activation of intraepidermal CD8+ memory T cells at these sites [10]. While topical provocation tests are safer, the false-negative rate is high; therefore, systemic challenge tests are the gold standard for diagnosis [7]. A short challenge may
induce more severe reactions than a daily increase in doses, although we expected to obtain a negative result because there were no previously described cases.

In conclusion, we describe a case of multiple FDE due to lorazepam, a previously unreported causative agent of FDE.
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