What Do We Know About Fish Allergy at the End of the Decade?
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Abstract

Fish allergy is one of the most common food allergies. It is usually considered to be IgE-mediated and correlates well with diagnostic tests such as prick tests and/or determination of specific IgE. Avoidance is the recommended treatment and is generally extended to all fish species. However, new clinical presentations have been described. These include non–IgE-mediated disease, monosensitization, and new syndromes that are sometimes associated, surprisingly, with cross-reactivity. Advances in molecular allergy have provided insights into new allergens and have increased our understanding of cross-reactivity. This paper focuses on recent publications providing information for clinicians involved in the management of fish allergy.
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Resumen

La alergia al pescado es una de las alergias alimentarias más frecuentes. Constituye habitualmente una alergia IgE mediada que se identifica correctamente mediante las pruebas cutáneas y/o la IgE específica in vitro. El tratamiento recomendado es la evitación de la ingesta habitualmente de todo tipo de pescados. Sin embargo, hay otras formas de presentación como la alergia no-IgE mediada, monosensibilizaciones y nuevos síndromes asociados a otros tipos de reactividad cruzada. Los avances en el diagnóstico molecular han descrito nuevos alérgenos y aumentado nuestro conocimiento sobre la reactividad cruzada. Este artículo analiza las publicaciones recientes que proporcionan nueva información para el tratamiento de la alergia a pescados.

Introduction

Fish consumption has grown in recent years owing to nutritional content, and this increase has been associated with an increased frequency of fish allergy. The prevalence of fish allergy is variable and depends on local availability and consumption patterns. Furthermore, prevalence is difficult to estimate because of confusion with pseudoallergic reactions and reactions to shellfish. However, prevalence is generally considered to be lower than 1%. Fish allergy is essentially IgE-mediated, although some non-IgE-mediated reactions have been described, especially in pediatric patients. Fish allergens can be transmitted by ingestion, by inhalation, and by skin contact, and the clinical manifestations can be mild, moderate, and severe. Cross-reactivity between various fish species is frequent, but patients who are allergic to one species (monoaergic) can tolerate exposure to other types without adverse reactions. Some surprising cross-reactions have been described between fish and other foods. This article discusses the most recent findings on fish allergy.

Epidemiology

Fish allergy is one of the 8 main food allergies and can affect both children and adults [1,2]. Labelling in industrialized countries requires mandatory declaration of fish content in food regardless of its quantity or proportion in which it is part of the final product. The prevalence of fish allergy has not been confirmed. However, it is thought to affect <1% of the general population, with a range of 0% to 8% depending on the study population’s dietary habits, the diagnostic criteria used, the mode of exposure, and the age of the population. It is more often seen in countries with higher fish consumption, such as Australia, Asia, and parts of Europe (Spain, Portugal, and Scandinavian countries) [2,3]. A 2016 review of 7333 articles by Moonesinghe et al [3], of which 61 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included, reported that the prevalence of fish allergy varies from 0% to 7%, depending on the diagnostic method used, ie, self-questionnaire, prick test, IgE-based test, clinical history, and sensitization. When food challenge tests were used, the prevalence was only 0.3%. In Norway, 3% of food allergies at the age of 2 years (n=3623) are attributed to fish. In the USA, the prevalence of allergy to seafood was 5.9% in 14948 study participants; 0.4% were allergic to fish, with most of these (67%) being allergic to multiple fish species [5]. In a recent study of 4400 adults in the USA, the population-based prevalence of fish allergy in response to a phone survey was approximately 0.7% [5]. In Asia, prevalence was higher in the Philippines (2.29%) and lower in Singapore (0.26%) and Thailand (0.29%) [4].

The prevalence of occupational asthma due to fish allergen exposure is estimated to account for 2% to 8% of cases of occupational asthma among exposed individuals [1,5,6] and occurs mainly in countries where the fishing industry is important.

Biological Classification

Fish species are divided into 2 main groups: cartilaginous fish (Chondrichthyes: sharks and rays) and bony fish and Osteichthyes, which include 2 classes, Sacropterygii (lobe-finned fish: lungfish, coelacanths) and Actinopterygii (ray-finned fish: teleosts) [4,7,8]. Of the 30000 known species of fish, most are teleosts. A limited number of species are consumed frequently; these include Salmoniformes (salmon and trout), Gadiformes (cod and hake), Perciformes (mackerel and tuna), Clupeiformes (herring and sardine), Cypriniformes (carp and goldfish), Siluriformes (catfish), and Pleuronectiformes (sole, flounder, turbot, and halibut) [1,5,9,10].

Clinical Aspects of Fish Allergy

Fish allergy is generally IgE-mediated, and affected patients present with immediate clinical signs, which are usually severe. The classic clinical signs include oral allergy syndrome, cutaneous symptoms (diffuse urticaria, angioedema), gastrointestinal manifestations (abdominal pain, diarrhea, and sudden-onset vomiting), respiratory symptoms (rhinitis, asthma), and, in the most severe cases, anaphylactic shock. Respiratory symptoms can occur due to inhalation of vapor while cooking fish [6]. Non–IgE-mediated reactions have mainly been reported in pediatric cases as food protein-induced enterocolitis syndrome (FPIES). The clinical presentation is different from IgE-mediated symptoms. Acute FPIES manifests within 1-4 hours after ingestion with repetitive emesis, pallor, and lethargy progressing to dehydration and, in some cases, hypovolemic shock. Chronic FPIES manifests with intermittent emesis, watery diarrhea, and poor growth progressing to dehydration. Diagnosis of FPIES relies on recognition of a pattern of clinical symptoms [11-13]. Fish is one the most frequent triggers of FPIES in Mediterranean countries [14]. A recent study in Spain by Vazquez-Oriz et al [11] showed that of 81 children who presented with FPIES, fish was the main trigger in 54.3% of cases. In another European series, FPIES due to fish was also common but only represented 12%-15% of FPIES cases [15-17]. Notably, these results differed from those found in other countries, particularly in the USA, where FPIES is frequently due to multiple foods [11]. FPIES induced by fish is characterized by particularities not found in other foods, ie, it begins later (except those caused by shellfish) and has a later resolution, at least than those produced by milk. FPIES has also been described for fish in adults and adolescents, where it is the second cause after shellfish [18,19].

Occupational allergy due to exposure to fish allergens can lead to upper and lower respiratory symptoms (rhinitis, asthma), conjunctivitis, contact dermatitis, and urticaria. Anaphylaxis due to cutaneous contact has also been reported [6,20]. Few clinical studies have investigated the minimal eliciting doses for fish allergy. However, very low amounts of fish (in the milligram range) seem to be sufficient to trigger allergic symptoms in sensitized patients, and in the EuroPrevall study, the ED10 was established at 27 mg [21,22]. This could explain the allergic reactions to traces of protein in fish oils in specific patients.

Little is known about the natural history of fish allergy. For IgE-mediated allergies, sensitization generally starts during childhood and often persists until adulthood [23,24], although some patients develop clinical tolerance [20]. Published studies indicate that the outcome of FPIES is variable. In a Spanish cohort, 75% of children with FPIES...
triggered by fish acquired tolerance by 5 years of age [11], while other studies in Europe reported tolerance in 19%-36% of cases studied [15-17].

Allergens

The identification and accurate characterization of fish allergens from various species and regions allow more precise diagnoses and facilitate the prevention of allergic reactions [26]. However, at the molecular level, fewer than 0.5% of species have been analyzed. The analyses have mainly included fish that are commonly consumed in Europe, such as carp, salmon, trout, tuna, and cod [5,10].

Parvalbumin

Parvalbumin proteins are the major fish allergen. These small muscle proteins (10- to 12-kDa) belong to the family of calcium-binding proteins and are resistant to enzymatic digestion and to heat [4]. The first fish allergen, parvalbumin, was identified from Baltic cod (Gad c 1 or allergen M). Since then, parvalbumin has been identified as an allergen in other species, including salmon (Sal s 1), mackerel (Sco a 1, Sco s 1, and Sco j 1), carp (Cyp c 1), and several species of tropical fish [4,23].

The parvalbumin protein is globular in shape and contains 6 helices (termed helices A-F). Parvalbumin is a member of the EF-hand calcium-binding protein family, which are characterized by the presence of a helix, a loop, and a second helix, with both helices arranged like the spread thumb and index finger of a human hand [1,4]. Calcium binds to these helices via ionic bonds, resulting in conformational stability. Two parvalbumin isoforms have been identified, α and β. α-Parvalbumin is found mainly in cartilaginous fishes and does not seem to be allergenic. The clinical cross-reactivity between α- and β-parvalbumin is very low in both fish classes (bony and cartilaginous), although this phenomenon is not fully understood. Most of the sequenced fish parvalbumin proteins are β isoforms, which are found in bony fish [1,4]. Teleost fish have 2 types of muscle, white muscle (also called light muscle), which is used for short bursts of swimming, and dark muscle (also called red muscle), which is located directly under the skin and is used for continuous swimming. White fast-contracting muscle fibers have the highest concentration of parvalbumin (eg, flounder and cod), while red slow-contracting muscle fibers (eg, tuna and skipjack) contain lower levels. Since the proportion of white and red muscle varies between species, the parvalbumin content also varies [1,27]. Large migratory fish, such as those in the Xiphiiidae family (eg, swordfish), have lower parvalbumin content than small sedentary fish (eg, cod, carp, redfish, and herring) [28,29]. For example, swordfish have less than 1 mg of parvalbumin per gram of fresh fillet, similar to the concentration found in tuna [29] and other fish species, such as cod (Gadus morhua) and carp (Cyprinus carpio), which have more than 2.5 mg of parvalbumin per gram [29]. Consequently, patients who are allergic to the parvalbumin in fish can tolerate certain species of bony fish with low parvalbumin concentrations, such as tuna and swordfish [28,29]. Additionally, the concentration of parvalbumin varies not only between species but also between parts of the fish, ie, it is higher in dorsal regions than in ventral regions and in rostral regions than in caudal regions [30].

Between 70% and 95% of patients who are allergic to fish have specific IgE against parvalbumin; the percentage varies depending on the type of fish used in the test and the population studied. Parvalbumin proteins from various fish species have a high level of sequence identity (>70%) and show structural similarity, thus explaining IgE-dependent cross-reactivity with parvalbumin. It is important to note that these allergens contain other epitopes in more variable regions of the parvalbumin protein. Such epitopes represent specific antigenic determinants for specific species, and the IgE reactivity to these epitopes correlates with clinical monosensitization to a single species of fish [1,4,7,9]. An example can be seen in the exclusive allergy to salmonids resulting from monosensitization to its parvalbumin [31].

Interestingly, β-parvalbumin proteins from bony fish have a relatively high level of sequence identity with the α-parvalbumin in amphibian muscle (63% to 76%), reptiles (56% to 69%), and birds (54% to 71%) [4]. This may explain the clinical cross-reactivity between these species. The Table shows allergens that have been sequenced from various fish species [4,10].

Table. Sequenced Fish Allergens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Allergens</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clupeiformes</td>
<td>Herrings</td>
<td>Clu h 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Clupea harengus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sardine</td>
<td>Sar sa 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Sardina sagax)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypriniformes</td>
<td>Common carp</td>
<td>Cyp c 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Cyprinus carpio)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadiformes</td>
<td>Baltic cod</td>
<td>Gad c 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Morus callarias)</td>
<td>Gad m 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atlantic cod</td>
<td>Gad m 2</td>
<td>Enolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Gadus morhua)</td>
<td>Gad m 3</td>
<td>Aldolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perciformes</td>
<td>Tuna</td>
<td>Thu a 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Thunnus albacares)</td>
<td>Thu a 2</td>
<td>Enolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thu a 3</td>
<td>Aldolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indian mackerel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Rastrelliger kanagurta)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ras K 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleuronectiformes</td>
<td>Megrim</td>
<td>Lep w 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Lepidorhombus whiffiagonis)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmoniformes</td>
<td>Pacific salmon</td>
<td>Onc k 5</td>
<td>Vitellogenin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Oncorhynchus keta)</td>
<td>Onc m 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Oncorhynchus mykiss)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>Sal s 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Salmo salar)</td>
<td>Sal s 2</td>
<td>Enolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sal s 3</td>
<td>Aldolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scorpaeniformes</td>
<td>Redfish</td>
<td>Seb m 1</td>
<td>β-Parvalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Sebastes marinus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Other Allergens

Other fish allergens have also been described. Both enolases and aldolases are clinically relevant in fish allergy, although the allergenicity of these proteins is less well established than the allergenicity of parvalbumin proteins [1,10]. Enolase (50 kDa) and aldolase (40 kDa) are enzymes involved in glucose metabolism. IgE reactivity against enolases and aldolases from tuna, salmon, and cod is found in patients who are sensitized and patients who are not sensitized to parvalbumin. These 2 enzymes are less stable than parvalbumin. Interspecies cross-reactivity for enolase and aldolase is limited and clearly lower than that between parvalbumins. In 2013, a study by Kuehn et al [24] estimated the prevalence of fish allergy due to enolase and aldolase to be 63% for enolase and 50% for aldolase. A recent case report of an 8-year-old patient with anaphylaxis due to swordfish revealed 4 proteins to be allergens, namely, pyruvate kinase, enolase, aldolase, and triosephosphate isomerase. This was the first report to describe these allergens in swordfish; notably, parvalbumin was not involved in the reaction [29].

Collagen was identified as a fish allergen in the early 2000s. Collagen is a rod-shaped protein of about 330 kDa that is present mainly in skin. A Japanese study performed in 2016 (n=36) showed that 50% of patients who were allergic to fish had IgE reactivity to mackerel collagen [32]. Collagen from cartilaginous fish has lower allergenicity than collagen from bony fish [33]. Gelatin (collagen type I) is often used in the food industry and in pharmaceuticals to replace mammalian gelatin. The allergenicity of gelatin differs from that of collagen because of the destruction of some epitopes by the hydrolysis process. Fish collagen and gelatin found, respectively, in dietary supplements and marshmallows have been involved in allergic reactions [35,36]. Gelatin poses an allergic risk due to potential contamination by parvalbumin. Indeed, traces of parvalbumin have been detected in isinglass, a type of gelatin that is used in the clarification of wine and that has, until now, been considered nonallergenic [37].

Tropomyosins are α-helical proteins belonging to the family of actin-binding proteins. There are numerous isoforms in molds and in both muscle and nonmuscle cells of animals [38]. Tropomyosin is considered an invertebrate panallergen, and the tropomyosin found in vertebrates was not classically considered allergenic. Nevertheless, its allergenicity was first described in 2013 in tilapia from Mozambique (Oreochromis mossambicus) [39]. Subsequently, another group that used immunoblotting reported IgE-mediated immunoreactivity to tropomyosin from cod, yellow tuna, and swordfish in 10 of a cohort of 19 patients who presented with recurrent type I hypersensitivity following fish ingestion. It is particularly interesting to note that these patients had negative prick test results for commercial fish extracts [40]. The homology between invertebrate tropomyosin and fish tropomyosin is only 57%, which, theoretically, is not sufficient to lead to clinical cross-reactivity [39]. However, Peixoto et al [41] described a child suspected of clinical cross-reactivity between fish and shrimp tropomyosin.

Vitellogenin is a protein found in fish eggs. It is resistant to enzymatic digestion, suggesting that the relevant allergens are subfragments of vitellogenin, such as lipovitellin and β’-component (β’-c). Clinical reactivity to vitellogenin is variable and is often specific to certain types of caviar, although cross-reactivity has been reported with other fish eggs, especially between salmon eggs and herring eggs [42,43]. No cross-reactivity has been reported between chicken- and egg-equivalent proteins [43,44].

Mention should be made of other potential fish allergens of unknown relevance such as aldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase, triosephosphate isomerase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphatide dehydrogenase, and creatine kinase [45,46].

Cross-reactivity

Clinical cross-reactivity between fish species is frequent, thus explaining why patients with fish allergy are often advised to avoid all species of fish and all fish-derived products [9]. However, numerous patients who are allergic to one fish species seem to tolerate other species. This difference in reactivity can be explained by the phylogenetic dispersion of species and by the different allergen contents [23]. For example, a patient who is allergic to the parvalbumin in fish may be able to tolerate certain species of fish that have low parvalbumin content, such as tuna and swordfish [28,29,47]. To date, monosensitivity has been described for sole, swordfish, pangasius/tilapia, tuna/marlin, cod, and, more recently, salmon [21,48,49].

The weak clinical cross-reactivity between α- and β-parvalbumin in the 2 classes of fish (cartilaginous and bony) was not understood until recently [1,4]. A study by Kalic et al [50] of 17 patients with fish allergy showed that the specific IgE level and basophil activation were significantly lower for α-parvalbumin from shark and ray than for β-parvalbumin from bony fishes. In some patients, the absence of clinical reactivity to ray was confirmed by oral challenge test. The researchers concluded that cartilaginous fish are well tolerated by patients allergic to bony fish, thereby helping the patients to avoid useless food restrictions. In the same sense, Calderon-Rodriguez et al [51] reported good tolerance to dogfish (a small shark frequently consumed in southern Spain) in patients allergic to bony fish. Moreover, the collagen of cartilaginous fish seems to be less allergenic than that of bony fish [33].

Cross-reactivity with other meat sources has also been described. For amphibians, cross-reactivity between fish and frog involves β-parvalbumin [52]. Recently, Haroun-Diaz et al [53] reported the first case of anaphylaxis due to cross-reactivity between fish and crocodile meat. Kuehn et al [1,7,34,54] reported clinical cross-reactivity to poultry in a cohort of 36 patients with allergy to both fish and chicken meat, termed fish-chicken syndrome. Notably, both enolase (Gal d 9) and aldolase (Gal d 10) in chicken meat play major roles in this syndrome, as does parvalbumin (Gal d 8). Fish-chicken syndrome seems to have a low prevalence. A retrospective study of the results of prick tests (n=3232) that were conducted between 2012 and 2016 at Brugmann University Medical Center looked at the prevalence of the association between sensitization to chicken and allergy to fish and found an association in 14% of cases (unpublished data). The authors did not demonstrate immunological cross-reactivity, leading us to suspect that real cross-reactivity is even less prevalent.
The sensitization profiles differed between the group of patients with allergy to fish and the group with allergy to chicken. The clinical presentation of patients with allergies to both is usually severe and seems to be evolutionary.

Cross-reactivity to fish and other seafood (shellfish, mollusks) has not been demonstrated. Although such cross-reactivity has been suggested, the results of molecular analysis have not yet confirmed this hypothesis [7,21]. Tropomyosin would be the trigger allergen, and fish tropomyosin shows particular IgE specificity. However, serum samples from patients with shellfish allergy do not recognize any of the tropomyosin samples from fish tested in vitro. One proposed hypothesis is that vertebrate tropomyosin is digested into smaller fragments than invertebrate tropomyosin and that these smaller fragments no longer form the 3-dimensional IgE epitopes that are found in invertebrate tropomyosin [40].

**Effects of Processing and Digestion on Allergenicity**

Some industrial processes modify parvalbumin allergenicity. For example, the allergenicity of canned tuna is lower than the allergenicity of some fresh fish, which explains why some patients with fish allergy show tolerance to canned tuna [55]. Notably, there are no reported allergies to surimi, which contains the flesh of pasteurized fish. This is probably explained by the intensive processing the fish undergoes during manufacturing. Aldolases and enolase seem to be more sensitive to heat and food processing than parvalbumins [24].

Gastric acidity also affects allergenicity. A comparison of fish digested in pH 3 environments with fish digested in more acidic pH 2 environments (which is the normal gastric pH in humans) demonstrates that higher pH allows some allergenicity to be preserved. Consequently, antacid drugs can lead to incomplete allergen digestion [4,5].

**Diagnosis**

Patient history and dietary survey remain important elements in the diagnosis of fish allergy. Prick tests based on commercial fish extracts or fresh fish plus allergen-specific IgE tests are routinely used to diagnose fish allergy. A prick test is frequently used as the first diagnostic test because it is rapid and inexpensive. Nevertheless, it has low specificity and a positive predictive value (PPV) of less than 50% [5]. In addition, the efficacy of prick tests is limited by frequent cross-reactivity that is not clinically relevant [1,4].

Allergen-specific IgE testing is generally a good indicator of sensitization to fish, although its ability to distinguish clinical reactivity from immunological cross-reactivity is limited. The cut-off depends on the population studied and has been reviewed by Garcia et al [56]. For example, for cod allergy, a specific IgE level of 20 kU/L has been shown to predict clinical reactivity [4,56], while other authors have reported much lower cut-off points with a high PPV (ie, 0.35 kU/L with a PPV of 91% in adults, and 1.8 kU/L with a PPV of 71% in children and adolescents) [56]. More than 30 fish extracts and 2 molecular allergens of parvalbumin are available for use in specific IgE testing [1]. Notably, a negative result in specific IgE testing does not entirely exclude an allergic mechanism [57]. Gastrointestinal symptoms may not be accompanied by a positive prick test or specific IgE test result [58]. Clinical reactivity can be verified by oral challenge—ideally double-blind—which is the gold standard for diagnosis [5].

**Differential Diagnosis**

Infestation by the helminth *Anisakis simplex* results in the contamination of fish flesh, which can cause severe allergic reactions in those who consume the fish. Symptoms can be digestive, cutaneous (urticaria, dermatitis), respiratory (asthma), and even anaphylactic. A new clinical concept of *Anisakis* allergy attributes this reaction not only to the presence of specific proteins derived from the parasite, but also to the presence of viable parasites [59]. This hypothesis was confirmed by an oral challenge test that used nonviable parasites, which was negative. Freezing at −20°C for at least 24 hours is sufficient to kill the parasites [59]. Few studies have investigated the prevalence of *Anisakis* allergy. The current diagnostic approach is based on in vitro specific anti-*Anisakis* IgE testing and/or in vivo prick testing (when available) [5,60]. In a recent study in Italy, specific anti-*Anisakis* IgE (CAP) was not detected in 9 cases among 20 children with a suggestive clinical history and positive prick test results [61].

Histamine poisoning, or scombroid syndrome, can cause pseudoallergy and stems from the high content of histamine in certain fish that have been badly preserved. Histamine is an endogenous amine that is produced by the conversion of histidine by histidine decarboxylase. The latter is found in bacteria that contaminate some preserved fish. The efficiency of histidine decarboxylase depends on temperature, pH, and the sodium concentration. Ideally, fish should be kept at a temperature of 0°C or lower so that the bacteria cannot proliferate and so that the histidine decarboxylase cannot be activated [62]. Scombroid syndrome is a benign condition that begins 10 to 30 minutes after ingestion of fish and resolves spontaneously within 24 hours. The clinical presentation can be confused with that of fish allergy, although scombroid syndrome should be suspected in the presence of the following: abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting; facial or generalized erythema; urticaria and/or edema; headache or dizziness; xerostomia and metallic or bitter taste; and palpitations. Respiratory symptoms and low blood pressure are rare [63].

**Treatment**

At present, the only treatment for fish allergy is strict avoidance of triggers and the use of epinephrine in patients with anaphylaxis. There are no published studies regarding specific oral immunotherapy, although the reduced allergenicity of canned tuna has enabled it to be used to induce tolerance. A 2011 study by Turner et al [55] of 167 patients with allergies to salmon and tuna showed that 20% of the patients can tolerate both species if the fish is canned. Prick testing with salmon and tuna revealed a reduced wheel size.
Some researchers have used subcutaneous desensitization with fish extracts, although this treatment remains experimental. Therapies based on subcutaneous immunotherapy using hypoallergenic parvalbumin are currently being tested [64-66].

Conclusion

Allergic reactions to fish can be immediate and severe. Diagnosis is based on the clinical history, prick tests, specific IgE tests, and, if needed, oral challenge tests. The main fish allergens seem to be parvalbumin, enolase, aldolase, and collagen. Optimal management requires careful reflection to avoid unnecessary restriction, including consideration of the patient’s allergic profile at the molecular level. However, the number of available fish allergens is very limited. Therapeutic hypoallergenic parvalbumin is being developed, although randomized controlled studies in large series are still needed.
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