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Abstract

The European Medicines Agency defines excipients as the constituents of a pharmaceutical form apart from the active substance. Immediate hypersensitivity reactions (IHRs) caused by excipients contained in the formulation of medications have been described. However, there are no data on the prevalence of IHRs due to drug excipients. Clinical manifestations of allergy to excipients can range from skin disorders to life-threatening systemic reactions.

The aim of this study was to review the literature on allergy to pharmaceutical excipients and to record the IHRs described with various types of medications, specifically reactions due to the excipients contained in their formulations. The cases reported were sorted alphabetically by type of medication and excipient in order to obtain a list of the excipients most frequently involved for each type of medication.
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Resumen

La Agencia Europea de Medicamentos define los excipientes como los componentes de una forma farmacéutica diferenciados del principio activo. Se han descrito reacciones de hipersensibilidad inmediata causadas por los excipientes contenidos en la formulación de medicamentos. Sin embargo, no hay datos sobre la prevalencia de dichas reacciones. Las manifestaciones clínicas de la alergia a los excipientes pueden ir desde trastornos de la piel hasta reacciones sistémicas que ponen en peligro la vida.

El objetivo de este estudio fue realizar una revisión de la literatura sobre la alergia a los excipientes farmacéuticos y recopilar las reacciones inmediatas descritas con diferentes tipos de medicamento, debido solo a excipientes contenidos en sus formulaciones. Los casos se clasificaron alfabéticamente by type of medication and excipient in order to obtain a list of the excipients most frequently involved for each type of medication.

Introduction

The European Medicines Agency (EMA) defines excipients as the constituents of a pharmaceutical form apart from the active substance [1]. From the pharmaceutical point of view, an excipient is an inert substance added to a drug to change solubility or absorption kinetics, improve stability, influence palatability, or create a distinctive appearance. Some pharmaceutical excipients are foods or substances derived from foods (eg, casein, lysozyme), which could pose a threat to patients with food allergy [2,3]. Immediate hypersensitivity reactions (IHRs) to excipients may lead to a false-positive diagnosis of drug allergy [4].

The aim of this study was to review the literature on allergy to pharmaceutical excipients by using the electronic search engine PubMed/MEDLINE to identify potentially relevant studies published in peer-reviewed journals until October 2019. As a result, we present cases of IHRs to medications due to the excipients contained in their formulations. The cases were sorted alphabetically by type of medication and excipient in order to obtain a list of the excipients most frequently involved for each type of medication.

Table 1. Excipients Involved in Immediate Hypersensitivity Reactions Reported With Different Type of Medications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Medication</th>
<th>Excipient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td>Macrogol 6000 [14] PEGs + PS80 [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapeutics</td>
<td>Cremophor [16] PS80 [17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia medications</td>
<td>Macrogol 6000 [52] Mannitol [10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESAs</td>
<td>PS80 [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laxatives</td>
<td>Macrogols 3350 [63,64]; 4000 [65,66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTRAs</td>
<td>Aspartame [67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral supplements</td>
<td>Casein [68] Macrogol 6000 [14,69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal antibodies</td>
<td>PS20 [70,71] PS80 [73] PS20 + PS80 [74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal decongestants</td>
<td>Lysozyme [75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
<td>Macrogols 4000 and 6000 [76] Yellow dyes [77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmic products</td>
<td>BAC [79] CMC [80] PVP [81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenteral medications</td>
<td>BnOH [83-85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiopharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Poloxamer 238 [100] PVP [102,103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical medications</td>
<td>PEG/analogues (Laureth-9) [101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat lozenges</td>
<td>Lysozyme [104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound gels</td>
<td>PEG [105]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BAC, benzalkonium chloride; BnOH, benzyl alcohol; CMC, carboxymethylcellulose; ESAs, erythropoiesis-stimulating agents; HES, hydroxyethyl starch; HG, hexylene glycol; HPMC, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose; LTRAs, leukotriene receptor antagonists; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PEG, polyethylene glycol/macrogol; PG, propylene glycol; POEPOPG, poly(oxyethylene)-poly(oxypropylene) glycol; PS20, polysorbate 20; PS80, polysorbate 80; PVP, povidone.
**IHRs due to Excipients Reported With Different Types of Medications**

The excipients involved in the IHRs described in this review article are shown in Tables 1 and 2. A link to the PubChem Database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI-PCD) for the description of each excipient (Table 3) and a summary of the concentrations used to test each excipient (Table 4) are provided.

**Analgesics**

**Mannitol**

Intravenous mannitol is known to cause nonspecific hypersensitivity reactions [5-8]. Such manifestations are attributed to the hyperosmolar properties of mannitol, which can trigger nonspecific degranulation of mast cells and basophils [8]. Therefore, these reactions are usually considered to be nonimmunologic [6,8]. However, IHRs have been reported to occur after oral intake of mannitol-containing preparations, such as analgesics and sweeteners [9] and a dyspepsia medication [10].

IHRs with unrelated products due to mannitol [9] (Table 2) include urticaria and angioedema after treatment with a formulation of granular effervescent paracetamol (Tachipirina) and anaphylaxis after ingestion of coffee sweetened with a sweetener named Dieter. Both the Dieter and the Tachipirina formulations contained mannitol. The skin prick test (SPT) result to mannitol was negative whereas the intradermal test (IDT) result was positive. An oral challenge test (OCT) with a mannitol-containing oral laxative was positive.

**Povidone/Polyvinylpyrrolidone**

Anaphylaxis has been reported minutes after oral intake of acetaminophen (Doregrippin) [11]. Skin scratch tests with Doregrippin and povidone, also known as polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), were positive. Specific IgE (sIgE) to PVP was demonstrated by dot-blot.

**Antibiotics**

**Polyethylene Glycol/Macrogol**

Polyethylene glycol (PEG), also named macrogol, is reported with a numerical value. In the cosmetics industry, the number refers to the average number of ethylene oxide units in each molecule, whereas in the pharmaceutical industry, the number denotes the rounded, average molecular weight. Thus, the same compound may be named PEG-75

---

**Table 2. Excipients Involved in Immediate Hypersensitivity Reactions Reported With Unrelated Products**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of product</th>
<th>Excipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analgesic and sweetener</td>
<td>Mannitol [9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic, mineral supplement, and throat lozenge</td>
<td>Macrogol 6000 [14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics, NSAIDs, and cosmetics</td>
<td>PEGs + PS80 [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapeutics and vitamins</td>
<td>Cremophor [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast media and corticosteroids</td>
<td>CMC [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia medication, topical corticosteroids, and antibiotic</td>
<td>Macrogol 6000 [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laxative, sunscreen cream, and toothpaste</td>
<td>Macrogol 3350 [63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs, analgesic, bath soap, and shaving cream</td>
<td>Macrogols 4000 and 6000 [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmic product and corticosteroids</td>
<td>CMC [80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative settings and aspic</td>
<td>Gelatin [88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative settings, vaccines, and beef meat</td>
<td>Gelatin [91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative settings, dairy products, and meat species</td>
<td>Gelatin (α-gal) [93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative settings, shampoo, and plasma expander</td>
<td>PEG/analogenes (HES, POEPOPG, PS80) [72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative settings, antiepileptic, antiplatelet agents, and wound dressing</td>
<td>PEG/analogenes (PS80) [96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical medication, antihistamine, soap, moisturizing creams, and toothpaste</td>
<td>PEG/analogenes (Laureth-9) [101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical medication and hair care products</td>
<td>PVP [103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat lozenges, cured cheese, and raw egg</td>
<td>Lysozyme [104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccines and colchicum</td>
<td>Aluminum [107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccines and gelatin</td>
<td>Gelatin [108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccines and gelatinal candies</td>
<td>Gelatin [109]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CMC, carboxymethylcellulose; HES, hydroxyethyl starch; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PEG, polyethylene glycol/macrogol; POEPOPG, poly(oxyethylene)-poly(oxypropylene) glycol; PS80, polysorbate 80; PVP, povidone.
or PEG-3350, depending on the product type [12]. PEGs are used as excipients but are also active ingredients of laxatives and bowel preparations [13].

**Macrogol 6000**

IHRs with unrelated products due to macrogol 6000 [14] (Table 2) include generalized urticaria, tachycardia, and dizziness after ingestion of a tablet of phenoxymethylpenicillin (V-Pen mega). The patient had previously experienced urticaria after ingestion of a fluoride tablet (Fludent) and sucking a throat tablet (Bafucin). SPTs to both tablets and macrogol 6000 (ingredient common in all 3 products) were positive.

**PEG/Macrogol and Polysorbate 80**

IHRs with unrelated products due to PEGs and polysorbate (PS) 80 [15] (Table 2) include episodes of urticaria after intake of antibiotics (amoxicillin–clavulanic acid and ciprofloxacin tablets), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (ketoprofen granules and diclofenac tablets), and after using PEG-containing cosmetics [15]. All medications contained PEGs of different molecular weights (400, 4000, and 6000) and PS80. SPTs were positive to the 3 PEGs and PS80, although the result of the basophil activation test (BAT) with the PEGs and PS80 were negative [15].

**Chemotherapeutics**

**Cremophor**

IHRs with unrelated products due to cremophor [16] (Table 2): A patient experienced anaphylaxis after chemotherapy with paclitaxel (Taxol). The treatment was changed to an etoposide, and the patient experienced cough, chest discomfort, dyspnea, and sweating. Two weeks later, the patient developed anaphylaxis with a multivitamin supplement. A request to the manufacturers provided the list of components of Taxol and the multivitamin solution, and cremophor was identified as the

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excipients</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td><a href="https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/244">https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/244</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excipient</td>
<td>Skin Prick Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>0.9% [84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxymethylcellulose</td>
<td>7.5 and 10 μg/mL [31]; 8 mg/mL [32]; 5 mg/mL [33]; 0.2% aq. (sodium) [37]; 5 mg/mL (Viscifresh drops) [80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrageenan (sodium)</td>
<td>0.4% [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casein</td>
<td>10 mg/mL [68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cremophor</td>
<td>100% [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexylene glycol</td>
<td>1 and 10% [38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyethyl starch</td>
<td>0.01% [72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose</td>
<td>2% (Ocucoat) [94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysozyme</td>
<td>10 mg/mL [104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>20% (Isotol) [9]; 0.002 to 2% [95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metacresol</td>
<td>0.3 mg/mL (NovoNordisk Insulin Allergy kit) [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene glycol/macrogol</td>
<td>400 and 4000 0.1%, 6000 1% [15]; 3350 and 4000 (Casenlax) undiluted [21]; 4000 0.1% [42]; 3350 0.01 to 10% and undiluted [43,63]; 6000 undiluted [45]; 4000 0.01% [46]; 4000 0.1 to 10% [66]; 400 (Escitalopram) 20 mg/mL, 3350 (Micralax 1.18 g/mL), 4000 (Casenglicol) 254 mg/mL, 400/6000 (Stada metformin) 170 mg/mL [69]; 6000 0.01% [72]; 400, 4000 and 6000 0.01 to 100 mg/mL [76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(oxyethylene)-poly(oxypropylene) glycol</td>
<td>0.01% [72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysorbate 20</td>
<td>1.1 g/mL [71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysorbate 80</td>
<td>0.1% [15,72]; undiluted [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Povidone</td>
<td>10% and undiluted [48]; 10% and undiluted (Betadine) [49]; 35 μg/mL [102]; 0.1% aq. (iodine), 0.001% aq. [103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene glycol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protamine (sulphate)</td>
<td>1 and 10 mg/mL [58]; 35 μg/mL [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trometamol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>5 μg/mL (chloride) [61]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: aq., aqueous; pet., petrolatum
common excipient. The etoposide contained polysorbate. SPTs with cremophor and polysorbate were positive, suggesting potential cross-reactivity between these structurally related polymers and PEG [12].

**PS80**

PS80 was suspected as the causative agent in an episode of anaphylaxis during chemotherapy with an etoposide [17]. An indirect study was performed with a PS80-free etoposide (VePesid), etoposide (Sandoz), and docetaxel (Actavis) (PS80-containing). SPTs were negative to all preparations, whereas IDTs were positive to the 2 PS80-containing preparations.

**Contrast Media**

**Carboxymethylcellulose**

There are 2 reports of IHRs due to carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), as follows:

− Anaphylaxis with a barium sulfate suspension (CMC-containing) [18]: Skin scratch tests were positive with the suspension and with CMC.
− IHRs with unrelated products due to CMC [19] (Table 2): Anaphylaxis with the barium sulfate suspension Micropaque Colon was reported in a patient who had previously experienced episodes of malaise after intraarticular infiltrations with CMC-containing corticosteroids. SPTs were positive to the suspension and negative to CMC, although the IDT to CMC was positive (10 μg/mL). Both BAT and the cysteinyl-leukotriene release test to CMC were positive. Dot-blot revealed sIgE to CMC.

**Carrageenan**

Anaphylaxis with a sodium carrageenan–containing suspension (Liquid Polibar) [20]: SPTs were positive both to the suspension and to sodium carrageenan. A radioallergosorbent test (RAST) revealed sIgE to sodium carrageenan.

**Macrogol 4000**

Anaphylaxis with SonoVue, which contains macrogol 4000: SPTs to SonoVue and macrogols 3350 and 4000 were positive [21].

**PVP**

Asthma induced by an ioxitalamate preparation (Telebrix Hystero), an iodinated contrast medium containing PVP. The results of IDT, BAT, and the leukocyte histamine release test were positive to PVP [22].

**Trometamol**

IHR to a preparation of gadoteridol (Prohance), a gadolinium-based contrast agent (GBCA): SPTs and IDTs were performed with Prohance and 2 other GBCAs, gadobutrol (Gadovist) and gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem). All SPTs were negative. IDTs were positive to Prohance and Gadovist and negative to Dotarem. Prohance and Gadovist contain trometamol. The IDT to trometamol yielded a positive result [23].

**Corticosteroids**

Although true corticosteroid allergy is uncommon, there is growing evidence of IHRs due to excipients contained in corticosteroid preparations that occur more frequently than to corticosteroids themselves. Notwithstanding, excipient testing is frequently overlooked [24]. A literature review on IHRs to corticosteroids disclosed 120 reactions that were reported in 106 patients (2-90 years) [25]. Excipients were responsible for 28.3% of the reactions. Lactose was involved in 10 reactions (8 anaphylaxis and 2 urticaria/angioedema), CMC in 7 (all anaphylaxis), and PEG in 5 (all anaphylaxis). Reactions induced by excipients contained in corticosteroid formulations, such as lactose and CMC, have been demonstrated by sIgE to these excipients [26]. In a recent study of 64 patients who underwent corticosteroid allergy testing, true allergy was confirmed in 9 patients (14%), 5 of whom had positive SPT or provocation test results. The patients were actually allergic to the excipients (CMC and PEG), thus highlighting the importance of an accurate diagnosis, for which the authors propose a diagnostic algorithm to identify corticosteroid allergy [24].

**CMC**

There are several reports of anaphylaxis due to CMC, as follows:

− The first 2 reported cases occurred after intraarticular injections [27]. SPTs were positive to CMC. No cross-reactivity with hydroxypropyl cellulose was demonstrated.
− Reactions were observed in 2 patients after intradermal injection of triamcinolone acetonide (Kenalog) [28,29]. SPTs to CMC were positive in both patients. In one of the patients, sIgE was also detected by immunoassay and dot-blot [28].
− Three cases were recorded after injection of triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort-A) [30,31]. Tests with CMC were positive in all patients.
− Three cases were recorded after injection of triamcinolone acetonide (Trigon depot). SPT to CMC was negative in 1 case, whereas the IDT was positive [32]. The other 2 cases were confirmed by positive SPTs [33].
− Reactions after intraarticular injection of triamcinolone acetonide (Triamcort depot). The result of SPT to Triamcort was negative, whereas the IDT was positive. The patient tolerated a cumulative dose of sodium CMC (250 mg) in an OCT [31].
− Reactions after injection of betamethasone dipropionate (Diprophos) [31]. SPTs to Diprophos and triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort) were weakly positive, whereas IDTs were positive. An SPT to CMC was equivocal whereas the IDT was positive. The patient tolerated a cumulative dose of 250 mg sodium CMC in the OCT. A dot-blot to detect sIgE was negative.
− Reaction after an intradermal triamcinolone acetonide injection [34]. The SPT result was positive to CMC.
– IHRs were recorded in 2 patients after intraarticular and intralesional injections of cortivazol (Altim) [35]. SPTs and IDTs were positive to CMC. An oral CMC-containing amphotericin B suspension (Fungizone) was tolerated by both patients, thus confirming that this molecule is not absorbed through the digestive system, as reported elsewhere [36].
– Anaphylaxis after intraarticular injection of triamcinolone acetonide (Adcortyl) [37]. The result of the SPT to Adcortyl was negative, whereas the IDT was positive. SPTs with the components provided by the manufacturer proved to be positive to CMC.

**Hexylene Glycol**

Angioedema and dyspnea have been recorded after topical application of a mometasone furoate cream. An open provocation test was positive with mometasone furoate cream and ointment, and a positive reaction was observed to pure hexylene glycol (Sigma-Aldrich), which is a common ingredient of both mometasone formulations, in 1% and 10% concentrations by prick and rub tests [38].

**Lactose**

Lactose was responsible for IHRs to corticosteroids in 10 patients (3-15 years) with cow’s milk allergy [25]. Nine patients reacted to intravenous methylprednisolone sodium succinate (Solu-Medrol) 40 mg/mL, which contains lactose as an excipient. Analysis revealed that the lactose was contaminated by β-lactoglobulin as a result of imperfect purification [39]. The remaining patient, who previously tolerated inhaled fluticasone and salmeterol (Advair Diskus), developed anaphylaxis to a different batch of the same medication that was found to contain lactose [40]. Therefore, in patients with cow’s milk allergy, special attention should be paid to ensure the prescribed medication does not contain lactose [25,39].

Anaphylaxis was reported in a boy with cow’s milk allergy after treatment with a budesonide dry powder inhaler (lactose-containing) [41]. Mass spectrometry revealed no traces of milk proteins. However, analysis revealed the presence of galabiose, an oligosaccharide with an α-gal-like structure differing only in the glycosidic bond. Whereas α-gal is a [Gal(α1-3) Gal], galabiose is a [Gal(α1-4) Gal] saccharide. Given the impossibility of determining slgE to galabiose or galacto-oligosaccharides, α-gal slgE was determined by ImmunoCAP, and the result was positive.

**PEG/Macrogol**

PEGs were involved in reactions to corticosteroids in 5 cases (all anaphylaxis) [25]. One patient developed acute angioedema during an OCT [42], and another patient experienced anaphylaxis during an IDT [43]. The authors highlighted the need to avoid PEG-containing medications in patients sensitive to PEG through any route.

**Macrogol 3350**

Anaphylaxis was recorded following intraarticular or intramuscular injection of methylprednisolone acetate (Depo-Medrol), with evidence of sensitization to macrogol 3350 [42-45].

**Macrogol 4000**

Anaphylaxis was recorded after intraarticular injection of betamethasone (Diprostene) containing macrogol 4000 [46]. SPT and IDT results to macrogol 4000 were positive.

**PS80**

Two patients developed IHRs after intramuscular injection of the corticosteroid Inzitan (which contains dexamethasone and cyanocobalamin). In one patient the reaction occurred after the seventh dose and in the other after the first dose [47]. IDTs to PS80 were positive in both patients. An OCT with PS80 was performed in the second patient, who tolerated a dose corresponding to that contained in the Inzitan vial. The authors suggested that this negative result indicated that PS80 was not absorbed orally.

**PVP**

– IHR was recorded after intraarticular administration of meipivacaine hydrochloride and paramethasone acetate (PVP-containing). In vitro studies (histamine release, basophil degranulation, and lymphoblast transformation tests) with PVP were negative. The results of provocation testing (SPT, IDT, subcutaneous, and intramuscular) were only positive after intramuscular administration of the pure PVP preparation (1 mL) [48].
– Asthma and rhinitis have been recorded after administration of prednisolone oral solution (Estiliona). An OCT with the solution was positive. SPT was positive to PVP [49].

**Propylene Glycol**

Propylene glycol (PG) was the excipient most frequently found in topical corticosteroid preparations (64% of products analyzed [106 of 166]) [50]. A retrospective analysis performed in the USA (1996 to 2006) showed that 810 of 23 359 (3.5%) patients had a positive patch test result to PG. In 18.3% of cases, these were due to topical corticosteroid preparations [51].

**Dyspepsia Medications**

**Macrogol 6000**

IHRs have been reported with unrelated products due to macrogol 6000 [52] (Table 2). Anaphylaxis occurred after the intake of a betaine citrate effervescent tablet (UPSA), containing macrogol 6000. Immediate contact urticaria has been reported after using betamethasone valerate and difluorotetrolon valerate creams (Betneval and Nerieson, respectively). The patient presented previous maculopapular exanthema after oral intake of bacampicillin (Penglobe). SPTs to both creams were positive. The common ingredient was macrogol. SPTs to PEG-300 and PEG-1500 were positive. An OCT with betaine citrate (Beaufour), which is macrogol-free, was negative.
**Mannitol**

Anaphylaxis was reported after the intake of a chewable tablet of mannitol-containing cisapride (Cisapid MPS) [10]. SPTs with the tablet and D-mannitol were positive. sIgE to mannitol was demonstrated by ELISA. Mannitol was not reported on the package insert of Cisapid MPS.

**Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents**

**PS80**

IHRs to recombinant erythropoietin and darbepoetin due to PS80 [53] include the following 2 cases:

- Generalized pruritus, erythema, and orofacial angioedema after subcutaneous administration of recombinant erythropoietin (Eprex) (PS80-containing). IDT to undiluted PS80 was positive. SPTs, IDTs, and a challenge test with a PS-free preparation of erythropoietin were negative.
- Urticaria, pruritus, and orofacial angioedema after the third dose of darbepoetin (Aranesp) (PS80-containing). SPTs and IDTs with Aranesp, PS80, and PS-free Eprex indicated that PS80 was involved in the reaction.

**Insulin**

The prevalence of IHRs to insulin products is around 2%, and less than one-third of cases are related to insulin itself. Most reactions were due to excipients (metacresol, protamine, and zinc) [54].

**Metacresol**

IHRs after treatment with various insulins, isophane (Protaphane), aspart, Humulin neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH), glargine, and detemir [55]: IDTs performed with these and other available insulin preparations elicited erythema, urticaria, and eventual rapid skin breakdown. Analysis of the ingredients showed metacresol to be the common excipient.

The authors commented on the specific nature of the skin reaction, which involved urticaria, pain, and excoriation with abrasion and/or epidermal separation within 5 minutes of injection and had not previously been described. They concluded that this is the first documented case of metacresol allergy successfully treated with desensitization therapy.

**Protamine and Zinc**

Cutaneous generalized reaction after injection of short-acting and regular insulin and later monocomponent porcine insulin (Monotard). IDTs were negative for bovine, porcine, and human insulin but strongly positive to zinc acetate and diluting medium for Monotard (zinc-containing) and to zinc acetate [60].

Immediate generalized urticaria when changing from short-to intermediate-acting insulins (Actrapid to Monotard [porcine insulin]): The same reaction was observed with human semisynthetic monocOMPONENT insulin (Monotard HM). IDTs were negative to bovine, porcine, and human insulin, but positive to diluting medium for Monotard (zinc-containing) and to zinc acetate [60].

Injection site reactions and generalized urticaria, facial edema, and dyspnea, 1 hour after injection of NPH insulin: Treatments with Actrapid and detemir insulin (Levemir) induced the same manifestations. SPT was positive to zinc chloride [61].

**Laxatives**

**Macrogol 3350**

- IHRs have been reported with unrelated products due to macrogol 3350 [63] (Table 2). These involved anaphylaxis after intake of a laxative solution during bowel preparation and reactions after application of a sunscreen and with the use of a toothpaste, all of which were PEG-containing products. SPTs were positive to PEG-9000 and PEG-3350.
- Anaphylaxis during bowel preparation with PEG-3350 tablets (HalfLytely) [64].

**Macrogol 4000**

- Two cases of urticaria and angioedema after the intake of an evacuant solution (Bohm) have been reported. SPTs to the solution were negative whereas the OCTs with the solution and PEG-4000 were positive. The results of the IDTs were positive to PEG-4000 [65].
- Consecutive anaphylaxis episodes were reported after the intake of 2 bowel preparations containing PEG-
4000 (Selg for the first colonoscopy and Lovol-esse for the rescheduled colonoscopy) [66]. SPT to macrogol 4000 was positive. The patient reported rapid onset of generalized urticaria after intake of a capsule of nimesulide (Mesulid), which contained cetomacrogol 1000 (polyethylene glycol family).

**Leukotriene Receptor Antagonists**

**Aspartame**

Systemic dermatitis after starting treatment with chewable montelukast tablets (Singular) [67]. SPTs were positive to several chemicals, including formaldehyde. The patient’s mother noticed that aspartame, which is metabolized to formaldehyde, was reported in the package insert of Singular.

**Mineral Supplements**

**Casein**

A systemic reaction was reported in a child allergic to cow’s milk after intake of the first dose of a preparation of iron protein succinylate (Ferplex 40) [68]. The source of protein was not specified, although the manufacturer confirmed it to be casein (575 mg/vial). IgE-immunoblotting showed a strong signal to Ferplex and a 35-kDa band in the casein extract. The induction of a reaction after the first dose indicates that the source protein should be clearly indicated in the package insert.

**Macrogol 6000**

- IHR after ingestion of a sodium fluoride tablet (Fludent) [14]. SPTs to the tablet and macrogol 6000 yielded positive results: The patient reported contact urticaria after use of a cetomacrogol-containing emollient cream (Aqualan). The SPT to the cream was positive.
- Anaphylaxis after the first intake of a potassium bicarbonate effervescent tablet (Boi-K), which contains macrogol 6000 [69]: The patient reported symptoms after topical use of macrogol-containing cosmetics. The result of an SPT to the tablet dissolving in water was negative. The results of SPTs to other macrogol-containing medications were positive. An OCT with one-quarter of the tablet elicited an immediate reaction. Dot-blot yielded a positive result to 3 medications containing macrogols of different molecular weights, namely, 6000 (Boi-K), 4000 (Casenglicol), and 400 (Atarax). The amount of macrogol 6000 present in the Boi-K tablet was not reported in the package insert. Only Casenglicol provides complete information on its macrogol content.

**Monoclonal Antibodies**

**PS20**

- IHRs caused by omalizumab (Xolair) injections in 2 patients after more than a year of uneventful treatment [70]: One of the patients developed ocular angioedema and generalized pruritus to polysorbate-containing eye drops several months after the reaction to omalizumab. IDT to Xolair was positive for this patient. For the other patient, both the SPT and the IDT results were negative, although after treatment with Xolair, IDT to polysorbate was positive.
- Anaphylaxis after the first injection of omalizumab (Xolair) [71]: SPTs to Xolair and PS20 (main excipient) were positive. It was not possible to test omalizumab IgG1 alone, although testing of other monoclonal antibodies (fully human [adalimumab, golimumab], humanized [tocilizumab, certolizumab], and chimeric [rituximab, infliximab]) yielded positive results. PS20 was the common excipient, except in certolizumab, which in its commercialized form (Cimzia) contains PEG/macrogol (cross-reactive with PS) [72]. SPTs with macrogol 3350 (Colopeg) and macrogol 4000 (Forlax) were performed to confirm whether the positive SPT result to Cimzia was due to PEG. The results were positive for both, as was the case for Xolair and PS20.

**PS80**

Reactions to adalimumab (Humira) and ustekinumab (Stelara) [73]: The patient developed generalized wheals and pruritus after adalimumab injections. When therapy was switched to ustekinumab, the patient experienced severe urticarial symptoms after each injection. PS80 was the common excipient in both preparations. The result of the SPT to PS80 was positive.

**PS20 and PS80**

Erythematous injection site reactions have been reported after therapy with proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitor (PCSK9i) and with the human monoclonal antibodies alirocumab (Praluent) and evolocumab (Repatha) [74]. The 2 PCSK9i formulations contained PS20 (Praluent) and PS80 (Repatha). The patient experienced a positive reaction during IDT with PS80 (0.1 mg/mL).

**Nasal Decongestants**

**Lysozyme**

IHR to the lysozyme-containing nasal decongestant Narlisim was reported in a child whose diet did not include egg at the time of the reaction [75]. SPTs to egg yolk and white and Narlisim were all positive. The slgE was positive to egg yolk and white, ovalbumin, ovomucoid, and lysozyme. The allergen microarray revealed a positive slgE result to Gal d 4 (lysozyme).

**Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs**

**Macrogols 4000 and 6000**

IHRs to unrelated products due to macrogols 4000 and 6000 [76] (Table 2): The reactions occurred a few minutes after the intake of nimesulide granules containing macrogol 4000, with paracetamol syrup (Tachipirina) which also contains...
macrogol 6000, and when using some types of bath soap and shaving cream. The results of SPTs and BATs to macrogols 4000 and 6000 were positive, as were the results of SPTs with Tachipirina.

Yellow Dyes: Quinoline Yellow and Sunset Yellow

Three cases of urticaria have been reported after the intake of enteric-coated aspirin containing yellow dyes (Cartia) [77].

Ophthalmic Products

The allergenicity of preservatives in topical ophthalmic medications should not be underestimated, even though associated reactions are rare [78].

Benzalkonium Chloride

Two anaphylaxis episodes were reported after the use of eye-drops and after receiving mydriatic drops. Benzalkonium chloride (BAC) was identified as the common excipient in both products [79]. SPT and IDT results were positive to BAC.

CMC

IHRs have been reported with unrelated products due to CMC [80] (Table 2). A patient developed conjunctival erythema and bilateral periorcular edema with urticarial lesions after application of CMC-containing drops (Viscofresh). The patient had previously experienced generalized urticaria appeared after a CMC-containing infiltration (Trigon Depot). The results of SPTs with both products and dot-plot with the Viscofresh drops were positive.

PVP

The first case of anaphylaxis to PVP as an excipient in an ophthalmic preparation was recently reported [81]. Immediately after administration of corticosteroid eye drops (1 drop in both eyes), the patient developed periocular swelling, angioedema of the tongue and lips, dyspnea with a sensation of nasal obstruction, and throat constriction. The results of prick-by-prick tests with the eye drops and PVP-iodine 7.5% antiseptic solution, were positive.

Parenteral Medications

Benzyl Alcohol

Benzyl alcohol (BnOH) is used as a bacteriostatic preservative in intravenous medications and topical drugs [82] and can cause sensitization both by contact and systemically [4].

- Anaphylaxis after injections of BnOH-containing vitamin B12 (cyanocobalamin) [83,84]: SPTs to preparations of BnOH-containing cyanocobalamins were negative whereas IDTs were positive.
- Systemic reaction on 3 occasions after administration of BnOH-preserved cytarabine, vincristine, and heparin solutions: SPT to BnOH was positive [85].

Perioperative Settings

In recent years, given the emergence of IHRs to a number of hidden allergens, excipients should be given special consideration in all patients who experience perioperative hypersensitivity reactions [86].

Gelatin

Gelatin in colloids has been implicated in 0.34% of cases of perioperative anaphylaxis [87].

Intraoperative anaphylaxis has been reported with topical gelatin-based hemostatic products, as follows:

- IHRs with unrelated products due to gelatin [88] (Table 2): Anaphylaxis occurred after application of Gelfoam, which contains porcine gelatin. Reactions had previously been described after ingestion of Spam (canned pork meat with a gelatinous glaze, also known as aspic). SPT and sIgE to porcine gelatin were positive. As part of the preoperative assessment, the authors recommended inquiring about gelatin allergy not only in drugs, but also in food.

- Anaphylaxis after injection of Gelfoam: sIgE determinations were positive to porcine and bovine gelatins [89].

- Anaphylaxis after application of Gelfoam and Floseal (which contains bovine gelatin): sIgE was positive to porcine gelatin, but negative to bovine gelatin. SPT was positive to a mix of both bovine and porcine gelatins. SPT to reconstituted Floseal was negative, although this negative result is uncertain, since the product is a matrix that does not penetrate the epidermis. Given concern over potential anaphylaxis, IDT with Floseal was not performed. A subsequent test revealed sIgE to bovine gelatin [90].

- Anaphylaxis after injection of Floseal: sIgE determinations were positive to porcine and bovine gelatins [91].

- Anaphylaxis after application of SurgiFlo, which contains porcine gelatin: The study revealed sIgE to bovine gelatin [92].

- Anaphylaxis after application of SurgiFlo, which contains porcine gelatin: A postoperative study revealed sIgE to bovine gelatin [93].

- Anaphylaxis occurred after injection of Floseal in a patient who had previously experienced anaphylaxis after administration of gelatin-containing vaccines and facial rash after eating beef. A postoperative study revealed sIgE to bovine gelatin.

- Anaphylaxis after Floseal injection: A postoperative study demonstrated sIgE to bovine gelatin [94].

- Anaphylaxis after application of SurgiFlo, which contains porcine gelatin: The study revealed sIgE to porcine gelatin [95].

- Anaphylaxis after unrelated products due to gelatin [96] (Table 2): Anaphylaxis occurred after injection of Gelofusin, which contains bovine gelatin, in a patient who had previously developed urticaria related to consumption of dairy products and meat species (pork and beef). SPTs and sIgE determinations were performed with mammalian meats, milk and its components, bovine serum albumin, oligosaccharide galactose-α-1,3-galactose (α-gal), and Gelofusin. The results were positive to meats (pork, beef, lamb, and horse), milk, α-gal, and Gelofusin. This case highlights the fact that patients sensitized to α-gal moieties from mammalian meat may react to mammalian gelatin and gelatin-containing drugs.
**Hydroxypropyl Methylcellulose**

Anaphylaxis was reported during two cataract surgeries [94]. The patient was studied with the drugs used in both procedures, and SPTs yielded positive results to Occucoat and Xylocaine gel, both of which contain hydroxypropyl methylcellulose.

**Mannitol**

IHRs to intravenous paracetamol/acetaminophen are rare. However, episodes of anaphylaxis caused by perioperative Perfalgan (which contains mannitol) were reported in 2 patients, both of whom tolerated paracetamol/acetaminophen [95]. IDTs to Perfalgan and mannitol were positive.

**PEG/Macrogol and Structural Analogues: Hydroxyethyl Starch, Poly(oxyethylene)-poly(oxypropylene) Glycol, and PS80**

- IHRs with unrelated products due to PEG and PS80, with cross-reactivity to hydroxyethyl starch (HES) and Poly(oxyethylene)-poly(oxypropylene) glycol (POEPOPG) [72] (Table 2): Anaphylaxis after application of PVP-iodine gel in a patient who had previously developed wheezing with a shampoo. SPTs were performed with the constituents of PVP-iodine gel and shampoo. Results were positive for POEPOPG, PS80, and PEG-6000. As these components have a common structure \((\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_n\), reactions were attributed to these PEG analogs. Months later, a new episode of intraoperative anaphylaxis occurred in the same patient. The subsequent work-up revealed that the HES-containing plasma expander (Hespan) used during surgery had a chemical moiety similar to the structure of PEG. SPTs to both the plasma expander and HES were positive.

- IHRs with unrelated products due to PEGs with cross-reactivity to PS80 [96] (Table 2): Perioperative anaphylaxis followed by other anaphylaxis episodes to unrelated drugs as valproate (Depakine), clopidogrel (Grepit), and levetiracetam, and with application of colored chlorhexidine (PS80-containing) and a Mepilex bandage. PEGs were identified in Depakine and Grepit and as hidden ingredients of the Mepilex bandage. SPTs were positive to PEG-6000 and PEG-3000, colored chlorhexidine, PS80, and the inner and outer surfaces of the Mepilex bandage.

**PVP**

- Anaphylaxis after application of PVP-iodine (Betadine) to a surgical wound: The results of SPTs, IDTs, and BATs were positive to PVP-iodine and PVP. PVP-iodine is a skin antiseptic, although this case illustrates the risk of passage to the bloodstream after application to a surgical wound [97].

- Anaphylaxis after application of PVP-iodine (Videne) to a surgical wound: The SPT was positive to PVP-iodine. This case confirms the importance of considering PVP-iodine and other antiseptics when investigating possible causes of perioperative anaphylaxis [98].

**Radiopharmaceuticals**

**Poloxamer 238**

Poloxamers are a family of amphiphilic polymers of hydrophilic ethylene oxide and hydrophobic propylene oxide [99] or PEG-PG copolymers [12]. Anaphylaxis occurred after intravenous administration of Nanocoll [100]. SPTs and IDTs to poloxamer 238 were positive.

**Topical Medications**

**PEG/Macrogol and Structural Analogues: Laureth-9**

IHRs have been reported with unrelated products due to PEG and Laureth-9 [101] (Table 2). The patient developed generalized urticaria, dizziness, and dyspnea after using a topical Betadine solution (containing laureth-9), anaphylaxis after swallowing an antihistamine cough syrup containing macrogol 6000 (GripaNait), itchy maculopapular rash caused by contact with moisturizing skin creams containing PEG-75 and PEG-100, generalized urticaria with soap, and swelling of the gums and tongue after using a toothpaste. The results of SPTs to GripaNait, Betadine gel and solution, and PEGs were positive. BATs were positive to all PEG-containing products used by the patient. SPTs and BATs were also positive for poloxamer 407 and PS80, as well as cetirizine and doxylamine antihistamine tablets and ebastine tablets and solution, containing PEG. With the latter excipients, the authors wanted to demonstrate cross-reactivity with other structural analogues, as well as hypersensitivity to other drugs (antihistamines) that contained PEG, even if they were not involved in the multiple reactions observed.

**PVP**

- Itching of the soles, generalized hives and swelling of the face after the first topical use of Betadine on an arm wound: SPTs were positive to Betadine and PVP. sIgE to PVP was demonstrated by ELISA [102].

- IHRs with unrelated products due to PVP [103] (Table 2): Anaphylaxis was described after vaginal application of PVP-iodine (Isodine) for a medical examination in a patient who had previously experienced several episodes of contact urticaria with hair care products. Analysis of the shampoo and the permanent wave solution used revealed that both contained PVP. SPTs were positive to Isodine, PVP, and the hair care products used by the patient.

**Throat Lozenges**

**Lysozyme**

IHRs with unrelated products due to lysozyme [104] (Table 2): Reactions reported with a lysozyme-containing tablet (Lizipaina) and the ingestion of cured cheese or raw egg. The difference in the composition of the nontolerated cheeses was the presence of lysozyme. SPTs to commercial extracts of egg white and lysozyme were positive.
Ultrasound Gels

**PEG/Macrogol**

Anaphylaxis after transvaginal ultrasound has been attributed to the lubricating gel, which contained PEG [105]. SPTs were positive to the gel and to PEG-8000.

**Vaccines**

Few cases of sensitization to vaccine ingredients have been described; however, excipients and manufacturing residues should be taken into consideration [106].

**Aluminum**

IHRs have been reported to unrelated products due to aluminum [107] (Table 2). Anaphylaxis occurred after administration of an aluminum-containing tetanus vaccine (Tetavax), as did urticaria after changing a colchicine treatment to an aluminum-containing pharmaceutical form. The results of SPTs to aluminum were positive; that of an OCT with the aluminum-free colchicine preparation was negative.

**Gelatin**

IHRs to unrelated products due to gelatin, have been reported [108,109] (Table 2), as follows:

- Anaphylaxis with measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine (gelatin-containing), and throat pruritus and tongue swelling after eating gelatin: SPTs and sIgE to the vaccine and gelatin were positive [108].
- Anaphylaxis after injection of chickenpox vaccine (gelatin-containing) in a patient who had previously experienced an allergic reaction to gelatinous candies: sIgE to pork gelatin was positive [109].
- Anaphylaxis after injection of chickenpox (gelatin-containing) and hepatitis A vaccines: SPTs were positive to gelatin and the chickenpox vaccine [110].

**PS80**

Anaphylaxis has been reported after the third administration of the human papillomavirus vaccine Gardasil, which contains PS80 [111]. The results of IDT to Gardasil and SPT to PS80 were positive. However, those of BAT and sIgE to PS80 were negative.

**Conclusions**

The significant number of reported cases of IHRs properly diagnosed as being caused by excipients highlights the need for all the excipients contained in the formulation of a medication to be listed on the package insert, thus obviating the need to request the compound list from the manufacturer. Adequate labeling and standardization of excipient nomenclature and agents potentially involved in each reaction, sometimes in multiple unrelated drugs, would avoid confusion and facilitate diagnosis and implementation of safe avoidance strategies to prevent future reactions in sensitized patients, once allergy to active ingredients has been excluded.

Finally, it would be interesting to provide patients who are allergic to excipients with a list of commercial products that contain the trigger component and alternatives, since they may be present in drugs the patient could need in the future, and recommend that he/she carry an emergency kit with autoinjectable epinephrine.
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