Severe Delayed Hypersensitivity Reaction to Abiraterone Acetate
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Prostate cancer (PCa) is among the most common cancers in men worldwide [1]. While androgen deprivation therapy has been the standard of care for initial management of advanced or metastatic PCa, progression to castration-resistant PCa occurs within 2 or 3 years after initiation. Newer agents target some of these mechanisms of resistance and provide an additional survival benefit [2,3]. These include abiraterone acetate (AA), which interferes with androgenic stimulation of the growth of PCa, and pembrolizumab, an immune checkpoint inhibitor [4]. Allergic reactions to medications are unexpected and life-threatening and may necessitate therapeutic alternatives, which in some cases obviate the need for first-line therapies, with the consequent impact on survival and quality of life [5].

We report the case of a 47-year-old man diagnosed in 2016 with stage IV PCa (Gleason 8), accompanied by lymph node and bone involvement. The patient completed treatment with oral bicalutamide, intravenous leuprorelin acetate, and 6 cycles of docetaxel in 2017. Treatment induced a biochemical response, and lymph nodes had almost returned to normal size, with sclerotic changes in lytic bone metastases. In September 2019, owing to biochemical progression, he started treatment with oral AA 500 mg taken as 2 tablets once daily (combined with oral prednisone 5 mg/12 h in order to prevent the increase in mineralocorticosteroids caused by its mechanism of action [6]) and intravenous pembrolizumab 200 mg every 3 weeks. Ten days after the beginning of this regimen, the patient developed a symmetrically distributed and very pruriginous morbilliform exanthem on the trunk, groins, and root of the upper and lower limbs. He attended the emergency department with extreme weakness, nausea, vomiting, and fever of 38.8ºC, accompanied by skin lesions. His blood count and hepatic and renal profile were normal. He also reported an infectious environment at home (his child had acute gastroenteritis), leading his oncologist to suspect a viral condition and treat him with oral paracetamol. Evaluation 2 days later in the emergency department revealed pharyngeal hyperemia, exanthema, and progression of the exanthem...
over the back, root of the lower limbs, and arms in the form of a confluent, erythematous, purplish rash, with lingual, labial, and palpebral edema. Fever up to 38°C also persisted, despite treatment with paracetamol. Blood tests showed neither eosinophilia nor alteration of liver or kidney function. Serological tests for Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus, HIV, parvovirus B19, measles, Mycoplasma, and hepatitis C virus yielded negative results. Antineoplastic treatment was interrupted, oral methylprednisolone 40 mg/24 h was prescribed in tapering doses, and oral dexamethasone 2 mg/8 h was prescribed for 14 days. The exanthem resolved 1 week later, with no desquamation or residual lesions.

The patient was assessed in our Allergy Department because his oncologist wanted to continue with AA. As a viral condition was suspected and standardized skin tests (skin prick test [SPT] and intradermal tests [IDT]) with AA and pembrolizumab are scarce (only a few cases have been reported in the literature), we obtained the patient's informed consent to perform a single-blind oral challenge test (SBOCT) with AA over 2 days in order to achieve a total dose of 1000 mg. On the first day, 4 hours after administration of 250 mg of AA (with prednisone 5 mg), the patient developed pruriginous erythema on the chest, bilateral palpebral edema, and a peak fever of 38°C. The reaction was treated with oral paracetamol 1000 mg, subcutaneous dexamethasone 5 mg, and intramuscular methylprednisolone 60 mg and resolved in 24 hours. Neither eosinophilia nor impaired liver or kidney function were observed in this episode.

After analyzing the reaction, we considered the possibility of desensitization with AA. However, given the intense cutaneous reaction and several general symptoms (drug fever), we decided to contraindicate desensitization, as reported in the literature [7]. Administration of AA and other pregnenolone analogues was subsequently forbidden.

In order to continue with first-line therapy, a single blinded intravenous challenge test was performed with 200 mg of pembrolizumab diluted in 200 mL of saline solution in 4 hours, and the patient did not develop symptoms in the following 24 hours. Therefore, treatment with pembrolizumab was subsequently administered at the usual dose. Finally, the patient was diagnosed with delayed hypersensitivity reaction to AA.

AA is an orally administered, selective androgen synthesis inhibitor, which has demonstrated efficacy and significantly increased survival in men with metastatic castration-resistant PCas who had previously received docetaxel [2,3]. It is administered in combination with corticosteroids to prevent drug-induced hyperaldosteronism [6]. Its common adverse effects include hypertension, hypokalemia, hepatotoxicity, peripheral edema, and urinary tract infection [4,6]. However, hypersensitivity reactions are infrequent, and only a few cases have been reported in the literature [8,9]. In 2018, Verdu et al [8] reported the case of a patient who experienced immediate generalized urticaria to AA. The authors performed rapid desensitization, but without previous skin testing or graded challenge; therefore, the underlying hypersensitivity mechanism was unknown. Núñez-Acevedo et al [9] reported a delayed mild maculopapular rash in a patient treated with AA. SPT was carried out at 200 mg/mL, although the results were negative. In nonimmediate reactions, we suggest that delayed IDT could have been the most sensitive method for elucidating the mechanism of the reaction [10], even though a standardized concentration of IDT has not yet been published in the literature. Nevertheless, SBOCT yielded a positive result, and a successful desensitization protocol was performed on consecutive days.

Little is known about desensitization in delayed hypersensitivity reactions [7]. Maculopapular exanthems are the only delayed reactions that have been well studied and successfully desensitized. When systemic symptoms are present, desensitization is not recommended and may be absolutely contraindicated if there are severe cutaneous adverse reactions [7]. Given the presence of drug-induced fever and generalized skin reaction with angioedema in the case we report, we finally considered desensitization to be contraindicated.

In conclusion, we present the case of a delayed systemic reaction to AA in a patient whose allergy was confirmed with SBOCT. Unfortunately, as the patient could not be desensitized, AA was forbidden, thus entailing a risk of failing treatment for PCa. More studies should be performed on the standardization of skin and in vitro tests for complete and appropriate allergy work-up. Such an approach could help us to understand the hypersensitivity mechanism beyond the reaction and, consequently, enable us to tailor management.
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The superantigenic properties of Staphylococcus aureus enterotoxins (SEs) (S aureus enterotoxins A [SEA], B [SEB], and C [SEC]) and of toxic shock syndrome toxin (TSST-1) considered as a group have been associated with atopic dermatitis [1], chronic rhinosinusitis [2,3], and asthma [2,4].

The presence of serum specific IgE (sIgE) against a mix of SEs (SE-sIgE) has been suggested as an important risk factor for severe asthma in adult asthma patients with poor lung function and eosinophilia [5-7]. However, few studies analyze each SE individually. In particular, the presence of sIgE to SEB (SEB-sIgE) has been associated with severe atopic dermatitis [8], although there is still little evidence of an association between SEB-sIgE and asthma [9,10].

The aim of this study was to determine whether the presence of SEB-sIgE is associated with asthma severity, level of control, and atopy in an asthmatic population.

A retrospective observational study was conducted among patients aged ≥18 years with a diagnosis of asthma in the Severe Asthma Unit at La Paz University Hospital in Madrid, Spain between 2009 and 2013. Sensitization was defined as SEB-sIgE ≥0.35 kU/L. The local Clinical Research Ethics Committee approved the study protocol (PI-2986).

Patients were classified based on asthma severity as per the Spanish Guidelines on the Management of Asthma (GEMA) [11]. Uncontrolled patients were those who experienced exacerbations requiring oral corticosteroids for at least 3 consecutive days or admission to hospital in the year prior to the study [12].

Atopy was determined based on the results obtained with skin prick testing and/or sIgE to common aeroallergens, as follows: pollens (Cupressus arizonica, Platanus acerifolia, Olea europaea, Lolium perenne, Artemisia vulgaris, Salsola kali, Parietaria judaica), house dust mites (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, Dermatophagoides farinae), animal dander...