Step 1	English to Spanish translation (Forward translation)
Step 2	Questionnaire review by expert panel
Step 3	Backward translation to English of the Spanish version by a native speaker
Step 4	Pre-testing and cognitive interviewing in collaboration with the Spanish Food and Latex Allergy Patients Association (AEPNAA)
Step 5	Final version of the questionnaire

## Supplementary Table 1. WHO recommendations for Forward-Backward translation

## Supplementary Table 2. HRQL concepts (modified from Antolín-Amerigo D et al [15])

Concept	Definition				
Deliekilite	Extent to which the questionnaire is repeatable and consistently produces the same				
Reliability	results.				
	How well the items of a questionnaire relate to each other and to the total				
Internal Consistency	questionnaire. It is most commonly evaluated by Cronbach's alpha. An alpha≥0.70				
	indicates good internal consistency.				
	Reproducibility of the questionnaire over time. The questionnaire is completed on two				
Tool Dodood	occasions by the same patients in whom no change in the condition has taken place. It				
Test-Retest	is most commonly evaluated by the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). An ICC $\ge$ 0.70				
	indicates good test-retest reliability.				
Validity	Degree to which the questionnaire measures what it is intended to measure.				
	Internal structure of the questionnaires and is usually evaluated by factor analysis,				
Internal Validity	inter-item correlations and floor and ceiling effects.				
	Assessed by calculating the correlation between the questionnaire and measures of				
Discriminant Validity	similar or dissimilar constructs. Type of External Validity.				
	Ascertained by calculating the correlation between the questionnaire and an				
Construct Validity	independent measure, which reflects the severity of the disease in question. Type of				
	External Validity.				

Group (years)	El score 0.440 <sup>(*)</sup>	<b>FA score</b> 0.126	<b>SDL score</b> 0.571 <sup>(*)</sup>	HRQL score
0 - 3				
4 - 6	0.581 <sup>(*)</sup>	0.773 <sup>(*)</sup>	0.444 <sup>(*)</sup>	0.687 <sup>(*)</sup>
7 - 12	0.666 <sup>(*)</sup>	0.547 <sup>(*)</sup>	0.510	0.644 <sup>(*)</sup>

## Supplementary Table 3. Spearman correlations between S-FAQLQ-PF and FAIM scale

<sup>(\*)</sup>P – value< 0.05