# Tolerability and Immunological Effect of Short Up-Dosing Immunotherapy With 2 Standardized Native Allergen Extracts Derived From the Pollen of Salsola kali and Cupressus arizonica

González Delgado P<sup>1</sup>, Ibáñez Echevarría E<sup>2</sup>, Antón Gironés M<sup>3</sup>, Rodríguez Mosquera M<sup>4</sup>, Alonso Díaz de Durana MD<sup>5</sup>, Prieto Montaño P<sup>6</sup>, Marín de Pablo A<sup>7</sup>, Fernández-Rivas M<sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain <sup>2</sup>Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital Universitario & Politécnico La Fe, Valencia, Spain <sup>3</sup>Hospital Universitario del Vinalopó, Elche, Spain <sup>4</sup>Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro, Madrid, Spain <sup>5</sup>Hospital Fundación de Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain <sup>6</sup>Hospital Universitario de Albacete, Albacete, Spain <sup>7</sup>ALK-Abelló S.A. Madrid, Spain <sup>8</sup>Hospital Clínico San Carlos, IdISSC Madrid, Spain

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2018; Vol. 28(2): 128-131 doi: 10.18176/jiaci.0217

Key words: Subcutaneous allergen immunotherapy. Salsola kali. Cupressus arizonica. Immunoglobulin IgE. Immunoglobulin IgG4.

Palabras clave: Inmunoterapia subcutanea. Salsola kali. Cupressus arizonica. Inmunoglobulina IgE. Inmunoglobulina IgG4.

Salsola kali is one of the main causes of summer pollinosis in countries with dry and temperate climates [1]. The prevalence of pollinosis caused by cypress pollen has been observed to increase simultaneously with the extensive use of cypress trees as ornamental plants and hedges in residential areas. The pollen of *Cupressus arizonica* is thought to have higher allergenic potential than other species [2].

Allergen immunotherapy (AIT) is considered the only treatment that ameliorates symptoms, modulates the natural course of the disease, and provides long-lasting effects in patients with IgE-mediated allergic diseases [3,4]. Subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) has been widely used and has proven to be efficacious and well-tolerated. It also provides long-term benefit to patients with allergic rhinitis, conjunctivitis, or asthma [5,6].

Two new SCIT products containing allergenic pollen extracts of *S kali* (AVANZ *Salsola*) and *C arizonica* (AVANZ *Cupressus*) have been developed based on previous SCIT products. We present the results of 2 open-label, single-arm, phase II, national (Spain), multicenter clinical trials (EudraCT No.: 2013-001728-20 and 2013-004720-11). Adults with a clinically relevant history of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis with(out) asthma caused by sensitization to pollen of *S kali* or *C arizonica* (mean age, 36 and 41 years, respectively) and who had not received AIT with the corresponding allergen extracts in the previous 5 years or concomitantly with any other allergen extract received a 6-week course of SCIT (5 weekly updosing injections and a maintenance dose 2 weeks later). The primary endpoint for both studies was the percentage of

patients experiencing at least an adverse drug reaction (ADR). An ADR was defined as any noxious and unintended response to any dose of the investigational medicinal product (primary objective) based on a 30-minute observation period after dosing, subsequent telephone interview, and examination of patients' diaries. ADRs were classified as immediate (within 30 minutes after the injection), delayed (>30 minutes after the injection), local (reactions occurring at the injection site), or systemic (generalized signs/symptoms occurring away from the injection site). All local reactions were recorded, regardless of size. Systemic reactions were graded 0-IV by the investigator according to EAACI guidelines [7].

The secondary objective in both studies was to assess changes in specific IgG4 and IgE levels, which, in immediate skin reactivity, were evaluated before the first SCIT injection and 6 weeks later. The change in the immediate skin response

Ta	ble.	Summary	of Ac	verse	Drug	Reactions
----	------	---------	-------	-------	------	-----------

	Salsola kali n=51		Cupressus arizonica n=52	
	No. of Events	No. (%)	No. of Events	No. (%)
Adverse drug reaction	97	35 (68.6)	111	40 (76.9)
Severity				
Mild	93	35 (68.6)	104	40 (76.9)
Moderate	4	4 (7.8)	6	6 (11.5)
Severe	0	0	1	1 (1.9)
Change in treatment schedule				
None	95	35 (68.6)	106	40 (76.9)
Temporarily interrupted	0	0	0	0
Modified	2	2 (3.9)	4	4 (7.7)
Discontinued	0	0	1	1 (1.9)
Prior to first intake	0	0	0	0
Onset after SCIT administration				
Immediate (less than 30 minutes)	7	6 (11.8)	27	13 (25.0)
Delayed (more than 30 minutes)	90	35 (68.6)	84	35 (67.3)
Classification according to MedDRA				
Local reactions	87	32 (62.7)	96	37 (71.2)
(Diffuse) swelling	8	5 (9.8)	3	1(19)
Redness (ervthema)	0	0(0)	2	2(3.8)
Pain	1	1(20)	<u>-</u>	2(3.8)
Itching (pruritus)	14	10 (19.6)	25	14(296)
Urticaria	0	0(0)	0	0(0)
"Injection site reaction" (>? local symptoms)	64	26 (51.0)	62	31 (59.6)
Systemic reactions	9	7(137)	7	5 (9.6)
Allergic rhinitis	4	4(7.8)	3	2(3.8)
Conjunctivitis allergic	0	0(0)	2	1(19)
Eve irritation	1	1(20)	0	0(0)
Eye muritus	1	1(2.0)	Ő	0(0)
Speezing	1	1(2.0)	0	0(0)
Upper respiratory tract congestion	1	1(2.0) 1(2.0)	0	0(0)
Pruritus generalised	1	1(2.0)	1	1(19)
Nasal discomfort	0	1(2.0)	1	1(1.9)
Grade 0/Nonspecific	1	1(20)	8	6(11.5)
Eve pruritus	0	0(0)	2	2(3.8)
Eye pruntus Evalida aczema	0	0(0)	2	2(3.0) 1(10)
Allergic conjunctivitis	0	0(0)	1	1(1.9)
Pruritus	0	0(0)	1	1(1.9) 1(1.0)
Drumitus	0	0(0)	1	1(1.9) 1(1.0)
Somnolence	0	0(0)	1	1(1.9) 1(1.9)
Ulcerative colitis	0	1(20)	1	1(1.9)
	1	1 (2.0)	0	0(0)
	7	6 (11 9)	10	10(10.2)
	/ 10	0(11.8) 0(17.6)	10	10(19.2) 10(10.2)
	10	9(1/.0)	10	10(19.2)
	29	23 (49.0)	20	24 (46.2)
0000 SQ+	21	19 (37.3)	28	24 (46.2)
15 000 SQ+	22	19 (37.3)	25	24 (46.2)
15 000 SQ+ (maintenance)	8	7 (13.7)	12	12 (23.1)

Abbreviations: LR, local reactions; SCIT, subcutaneous immunotherapy; SQ+, standardised quality units; SR, systemic reactions.

(after 15 minutes) was measured by means of skin prick tests using  $3 \times 5$ -fold concentrations of *S kali* and *C arizonica* allergen extracts and with histamine and saline solution as positive and negative controls. The parallel-line assay was used to estimate changes in the skin response, which were expressed by the cutaneous tolerance index (CTI).

According to the primary endpoint for both studies, 69% of patients treated with S kali and 77% of those treated with C arizonica reported at least 1 ADR. With S kali SCIT (51 patients), 97 ADRs were reported. These were all nonserious, with 93 that were mild in intensity, 87 that were local (62.7%), 9 systemic (13.7%), and 1 nonspecific (Table). With Carizonica SCIT (52 patients), 111 ADRs were reported. These were all nonserious, with 104 that were mild in intensity, 96 that were local (71.2%), 7 systemic (9.6%), and 8 nonspecific (Table). Between visits 1 and 6, statistically significant increases in IgG4 levels were observed with both formulations (S kali, 0.12 [0.18] vs 0.37 [0.62], [P<.005]; C arizonica, 0.07 [0.08] vs 0.40 [0.83], [P<.01]) and IgE (S kali, 9.25 [10.92] vs 18.19 [18.03], [P<.001]; C arizonica, 14.36 [17.85] vs 33.57 [30.98], [P<.001]). After 6 weeks of SCIT, no significant change in immediate skin reactivity was observed with S kali (CTI, 1.05 [95%CI, 0.66-1.68]; P>.05); whereas with C arizonica a statistically significant reduction was achieved (CTI, 2.51 [95%CI, 1.53-4.04]; P<.01).

It is encouraging to find that no moderate or severe systemic reactions were reported and that all systemic reactions found during the studies were mild in intensity (grade I, EAACI classification), and no measures had to be implemented. The number and nature of the ADRs were overall as expected; all reactions occurred at all dosing steps and were mild in severity, with a higher proportion of local reactions (89.7% with *S kali* and 86.5% with *C arizonica*), which resolved completely at the end of the studies. One patient, who was receiving SCIT with *C arizonica*, experienced a severe adverse event (injection site reaction) that led to discontinuation, although the patient recovered fully. As for *S kali*, another patient discontinued the trial because of a severe adverse event (ulcerative colitis) that was considered unlikely to be related to the investigational medicinal product.

Findings from the clinical trial reported by Moreno et al [8], which included 93 patients who received the same SCIT formulation containing Olea europaea-derived pollen, showed similar results to those in the present studies, in terms of number and nature of ADRs. A lower number of participants reported ADRs, although we found that these were generally mild in intensity, occurred across all dosing steps, and were mostly related to the injection site. In a similar open-label clinical trial conducted by Tabar et al [9] (102 patients) to examine the tolerability profile of the 5-week updosing schedule of a SCIT formulation with house dust mite-derived allergen extracts, around half of the study participants reported at least 1 of the 117 ADRs recorded during the trial. Approximately 5% of the affected participants reported mild, grade I systemic reactions, and 47% of the participants reported at least a local reaction that resolved fully before completion of the study.

The induction of specific IgE and IgG4 antibodies is consistent with previous findings for AIT with other allergen extracts [8,9]. Additionally, the significant reduction in the

J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 2018; Vol. 28(2): 126-138

immediate skin response seen with *C arizonica* is similar to that observed with mites and olive SCIT [8,9].

In conclusion, these 2 new SCIT products derived from *S kali* and *C arizonica* administered in a 4-week updosing schedule were well tolerated and induced an early and significant immunological effect.

### Funding

The trial was sponsored by ALK-Abelló, S.A.

### Conflicts of Interest

Alicia Marín is an employee of the Medical Department at ALK-Abelló, S.A. Dr Ethel Ibáñez Echevarría acted as trial coordinator but received no remuneration for her participation in the study. All of the other co-authors were study investigators who received remuneration from ALK-Abelló, SA for their involvement in the trial.

### Previous Presentation

The results from the study with *Salsola kali* were presented as a poster at the SEAIC Congress 2015. The results from the study with *Cupressus arizonica* were presented as poster at the SEAIC Congress 2016.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the members of the groups in the trials AV-X-02 (Salsola kali) and AV-X-03 (Cupressus arizonica) for their excellent work. We are particularly grateful to the following principal investigators for their contribution to the trial (mentioned in alphabetic order): Arantza Vega (Hospital Universitario de Guadalajara), Dolores Hernández Fernández de Rojas (Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital Universitario & Politécnico La Fe, Valencia), Ernesto Enrique (Hospital de Sagunto), Jesús Borja (Hospital General Universitario de Ciudad Real), Luis Alonso González (Hospital La Mancha Centro, Ciudad Real), Miguel Torrecillas (Hospital Universitario de Albacete). We also thank the participating co-investigators: Ana María Romero Berzosa (Hospital de Sagunto, Valencia), Ana María Burgos Montero (Hospital La Mancha Centro, Ciudad Real), Ana Rosado Ingelmo (Hospital Fundación de Alcorcón, Madrid), Carmen Andreu Balaguer (Hospital Universitario del Vinalopó, Alicante), Cosmin Boteanu (Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro, Madrid), Elisa Gómez Torrijos (Hospital General Universitario de Ciudad Real), Juan Mª Beitia Mazuecos (Hospital Universitario de Guadalajara), Mª Angeles Riquelme Pérez (Hospital General Universitario de Alicante), Sonia Vázquez Cortés (Hospital Universitario Clínico San Carlos, Madrid).

## References

 Assarehzadegan MA, Sankian M, Jabbari F, Noorbakhsh R, Varasteh A. Allergy to Salsola Kali in a Salsola incanescensrich area: role of extensive cross allergenicity. Allergol Int. 2009;58:261-6.

- Mistrello G, Roncarolo D, Zanoni D, Zanotta S, Amato S, Falagiani P, et al. Allergenic relevance of Cupressus arizonica pollen extract and biological characterization of the allergoid. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2002;129:296-304.
- Brozek JL, Bousquet J, Baena-Cagnani CE, Bonini S, Canonica GW, Casale TB, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2010 revision. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2010;126:466-76.
- 4. Greiner AN, Hellings PW, Rotiroti G, Scadding GK. Allergic rhinitis. Lancet. 2011;378:2112-22.
- Akdis CA, Akdis M. Advances in allergen immunotherapy: aiming for complete tolerance to allergens. Sci Transl Med. 2015;7:280ps6.
- Dominguez-Ortega J, Delgado J, Blanco C, Prieto L, Arroabarren E, Cimarra M, et al. Specific allergen immunotherapy for the treatment of allergic asthma: a review of current evidence. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2017:1-35.
- Alvarez-Cuesta E, Bousquet J, Canonica GW, Durham SR, Malling HJ, Valovirta E. Standards for practical allergenspecific immunotherapy. Allergy. 2006;61 Suppl 82:1-20.
- Moreno C, De San Pedro BS, Millan C, Panizo C, Martin S, Florido F. Exploratory study of tolerability and immunological effect of a short up-dosing immunotherapy phase with a standardised allergen extract derived from pollen of Olea europaea. Clin Transl Allergy. 2015;5:27.
- Tabar AI, Gonzalez Delgado P, Sanchez Hernandez C, Basagana Torrento M, Moreno Benitez F, Arina M. Phase II/ III clinical trial to assess the tolerability and immunological effect of a new updosing phase of Dermatophagoides mixbased immunotherapy. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2015;25:40-6.

Manuscript received August 22, 2017; accepted for publication November 30, 2017.

#### Alicia Marín

Medical Department ALK-Abelló, SA C/ Miguel Fleta, 19 28037 Madrid, Spain E-mail: alicia.marin@alk.net